EIS000514

	21	RECEIVED	MR. BROWN:	Rick Warner?	
1	22	NOV 1 6 1999	MR. WARNER:	Thanks for coming to De	nver
	23	I'm sure many	y other peop	le would like to be here l	had
	24 25	=	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ut we found out more abou by normal public means.	t it

cont.	1	And I agree, this is a sufficient
	2	magnitude that everyone along the route should have an
	3	opportunity to attend such hearings, and they should be
	4	a little closer to them.
2	5	I'd like to start out with an
	6	environmental justice issue. As an activist on things
	7	like this, unpaid activist, environmental justice are
	8	words we say, not words we do anything about ever.
	9	When we say disproportionate share of the burden to
	10	minorities and people of low income and people without
	11	power have to endure, we just let them endure it. We
	12	say "it's not a problem." It's not a problem for us;
	13	that's why, I guess. We can't worry about if it's a
	14	problem for somebody else.
	15	In this particular case there are
	16	problems. There are problems with the Shoshone Native
	17	American people. As you well know in Nevada they have
	18	problems with it, and their problems should be heard.
	19	As previously stated, they should, definitely.
	20	You heard from Melissa Munoz, from CPEEN.
	21	They definitely have problems. They have at least five
	22	Superfund sites within just a couple of miles of where
	23	they live. They have tremendous mix in those
	24 25	neighborhoods. They have an elevated highway that runs

1	over their heads day and night. They have the kind of
2	winter they have trucks that run right through their
3	neighborhood. Now they are going to have high-level
4	nuclear waste the next 39 years. That's just the worst
5	of it. That's just what I know. There are many others
6	along the way.
7	My house and my family we've lived here
8	our whole lives. Somebody in my family is on those
9	highways every single day. There's not a day that
10	somebody from my family is not on those highways.
11	My brother, his wife and his mother-in-law
12	and her two children live in Las Vegas. Every time I
13	go down he says, "What do you know about Yucca
14	Mountain?" I go out amongst Las Vegas. I don't hear
15	the sorts of things I hear when I work on the Rocky
16	Mountain Arsenal. I don't hear the kinds of things
17	that, "This is wrong." I hear people that are
18	genuinely afraid of this site. People are afraid of
19	Yucca Mountain.
20	The State of Nevada here, I got a
21	little brochure from them. "Why does the state oppose
22	Yucca Mountain?" I think you've heard lots of good
23	reasons. You got people from the State of Nevada that
24 25	go to all of these things. They tell you why they don't like Yucca Mountain.

3

EIS000514

3 continued

What have we got wrong here? We've got the people whose land this belongs to say they don't want it there. We've got the state in which this falls saying they don't want it there. Not only do some 70 percent of the people I understand from the latest poll don't want it there, the government doesn't want it there, the representatives don't want it there. The only people that want it there are the industry people that have something to make a buck off of it.

I don't want nuclear waste going through the State of Colorado. I don't want it getting stuck in tunnels along I-70. I don't want people being within just a few feet of it stuck in traffic for hours, as you can be on I-70 with no place to go, elevated, the I-70 here in Denver. You can be stuck there for hours. There's no place to go. You can't go anywhere. It's elevated.

Glenwood Canyon, I don't want it rolling off Glenwood Canyon into the Colorado River. I don't want it coming off a train going into the Arkansas River. I don't want it coming off a train going into the Eagle River. I don't want it coming off a train going into the Platte River. It doesn't need to be that way.

A lot of shortcuts appear to have been

5...

_		
_	200+	
	cont.	

taken. Standards have been reduced to Yucca Mountain to make this thing even fly. Bad idea, let's make it work.

 The whole idea of thermal loading, that seems to be an terrible concern to have the stuff -- the whole thing seems to me to be a large, catastrophic experiment in the process.

Seventy thousand tons of irradiated fuel rods, fuel assemblies put into a mountain six miles long in an area with thirty-three some faults with two faults running through it kept at temperatures above the boiling point of water.

I bet you can't find another site where that has been done. You don't have enough data from your models to tell you that this works.

I tell you a model that I use every day.

The model that I use every day is the weather report for the State of Colorado. It's seldom right. It's a model that's used every day. It's used all the time. It's practiced by sophisticated agencies. It's got billions of dollars in it, satellites that contribute to it, National Atmospheric and Research Center up here in Boulder. It's not a very good model. My grandmother was a better predictor; I guess she used experience.

	1	A lot of things in Colorado haven't been
	2	sufficient. It's not sufficient to make this open
	3	this as a gateway to a place where people don't want a
	4	dump. It's not sufficient to put people along this
	5	pathway, particularly people in Colorado, and all the
	6	other states. I'm sure they will gladly speak for
	7	themselves.
7	8	It's not sufficient to come up with
	9	something like the No Action alternative; this is just
	10	an alternative sent to waste paper. Obviously you
	11	could do several things along the line that were a
	12	little bit different than No Action.
	13	Certain sites definitely need to be moved;
	14	we heard about one of those this morning. A lot of
	15	this is just small improvements in these sites. We
	16	heard in mid '80s that short term storage isn't going
	17	to be sufficient and I believe experience has shown it
	18	hasn't been sufficient. Short term storage could be
	19	improved on, with a few modifications, until we come up
	20	with some better answers.
8	21	These things are heavy. These trucks are
	22	heavy. Eighty thousand ton (sic) trucks. That's a
	23	total limit. Most cities and towns do not have the
	24 25	equipment, if there's an accident, to move these things. What's going to happen when this stuff is

6

1	1	2
_		

		136
8 cont.	1	lying waiting for someone to come around and move it.
	2	I'm a little concerned about these 80,000
	3	pound trucks. I understand the engines have been made
	4	smaller because they weigh too much. I understand that
	5	they carry less fuel than a regular truck, so we've got
	6	more driver irritation: they have to stop more
	7	frequently.
	8	These things aren't going to go very
	9	smoothly or efficiently. They have to make sure they
	10	don't run out of fuel in between the long distance
	11	spans we have in the west.
9	12	I don't think there's enough comfort
	13	margin in anything that's been designed here. We've
	14	heard that, and we know from our past experience here
	15	in Colorado, the federal government is reluctant to
	16	work with communities, reluctant to work with local
	17	government, reluctant to work with the states,
	18	reluctant to work with the tribes.
	19	This has to happen. Something of this
	20	magnitude, carrying this kind of waste through the
	21	back yard, you must do a better job. It's got to show
	22	in the Environmental Impact Statement.
	23	I don't think you'll find we're going to
	2 4 25	go away or the people in Nevada are going to go away or the Shoshone people are going to go away or any of the

1	other people in the 43 states that are going to be
2	touched by this are going to go away.
3	We have a long history in this state where
4	things aren't sufficient and they go away. The
5	Olympics for one, Rocky Flats for another, Rocky
6	Mountain Arsenal for another. Just recently it's all
7	over the papers, the solution for Shattuck dump site in
8	Denver, it's gone or it's going to be gone. Burning
9	mustard gas in Pueblo, Colorado. Medical incinerators
10	in the communities along I-70 they want to drive
11	high-level waste through.
12	People are tired of putting up with it.
13	They want to feel safe, they want to feel comfort. It
14	is nowhere even safe to design things with the idea
15	that they are going to last for 10,000 years. Things
16	barely last 50 years where we can stick to a plan. So
17	1,000 years, 100 years, 500 years, 10,000 years, it's
18	all meaningless; it doesn't mean a thing. To put out a
19	plan, it's not worth the paper it's written on. I
20	believe it's pouring money down a rat hole. And I
21	think what you need to do is need to withdraw this EIS
22	and start over. Thank you.
23	MR BROWN. Our next speaker is Peggy Guy.

10